

April 24, 1975

fit. He chooses to use his power in one manner, others would use it in another. That does not mean that those of us who are here need to blindly submit to that power. We are equal and we should remember that. By that token we can choose to act out our own wishes here. We cannot be threatened or bludgeoned or pushed around. Therefore, I don't really believe that we need to support Senator Chambers motion. His motion, I know, was given in good faith but that does not mean that we would want to . . . In effect I would say this, by the adoption of the motion we would be implying that we are afraid of the Governor. Certainly we are not afraid of the Governor. I know that Senator Chambers is most certainly not afraid of him.

CLERK: Mr. President, a motion on the desk to amend. I move to amend the motion to include that when the Governor finishes his speech he will remain for a 15 minute question and answer period. Signed, Senator Barnett.

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Well Mr. President, I think the motion speaks for itself. This is trying to reach a compromise so we can get on with the business. I think that if the Governor comes in and makes a speech, like he did yesterday again, I think the Legislature should be entitled to ask some questions for about 15 minutes to find out if we really understand what he was saying. I'd move for the adoption of my amendment.

SPEAKER: Senator Warner do you wish to speak to the motion?

SENATOR WARNER: I have a comment afterwards.

SPEAKER: Yeah. Senator Cavanaugh do you wish to speak to the motion?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I will vote for this motion if this amendment is attached. I think that it's a reasonable thing. I think that we should engage the Governor, involve him in the legislative process and both of us would come out winners. Now there's a lot of scoffing about this. What's it all about? He's concerned with legislation. We're concerned with legislation. We go over there on a one-by-one basis and talk to him and carry back different messages. The other day on the usury bill we had Goodrich with a 3 month old message. It would be much more expeditious that when he comes to address us that we have something a little more current than that. I think it's perfectly reasonable. I'm not really kidding. Who could lose? The Governor can't lose and we can't lose. We'd all have more information and a better understanding of what we're both talking about.

SPEAKER: Debate is being protracted and lengthened out here. I do want to break in at this time. In the North